

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,275	10/13/2000	Michael A. Vaudrey	10551/147	3531
23838 7	590 10/03/2006		EXAM	INER
KENYON & KENYON LLP			LEE, PING	
1500 K STREE	ET N.W.		ADTIBUT	DA DED MIMOED
SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2615	, -

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/673,275	VAUDREY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ping Lee	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 38-44,53 and 54 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 53 is/are withdrawn  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 38-44,54 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of species 2 in the reply filed on 9/11/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claim 53 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/11/06.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 38-44 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 38-44 and 54 specify a feedback corrector. By definition, the word "feedback" implies that the output from this corrector will modify its input(s). As shown in Fig. 12 and the corresponding description in the specification as originally filed, the corrector (44,46) would modify the signals in forward path, and would not modify its inputs (such as signals before 38 and 39). The feedback corrector specifies by claims 38-44 and 54 is different from what is being disclosed in the specification. Therefore, applicant fails to provide adequate description on the invention as specified in claims 38-44 and 54.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 38-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "feedback" in claims 38-44 is used by the claim to mean

Art Unit: 2615

"feedforward", while the accepted meaning is "to go back to the input(s)." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

9. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kondo et al (US005867815A).

In view of 112, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejections above, the claim has been rejected under broadest interpretation.

Regarding claim 54, Kondo et al (hereafter Kondo) disclose a method for optimizing playing of an audio program, which includes a preferred audio signal (voice) and a remaining audio signal (background), for end users, which includes both hearing

Art Unit: 2615

impaired and non-hearing impaired listeners (although not clearly shown, the general population includes both hearing impaired and non-hearing impaired people), comprising: amplifying (by 24) the preferred audio signal to a level specified by a user; amplifying (by 25) the remaining audio signal to a level specified by the user; and modifying (by 27) the outputs of the preferred audio signal amplification step and the remaining audio amplification step using a correction loop so that an actual preferred audio signal to remaining audio signal (PSRA) ratio corresponds to the user's desired value of the preferred audio signal to remaining audio signal (PSRA) ratio (col. 4, lines 19-24).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ping Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-7522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian C. Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

pwl